

**SCRUTINY COMMISSION FOR HEALTH ISSUES  
RECOMMENDATIONS MADE DURING 2012-2013**

## APPENDIX 1

ITEM	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
<b>21 June 2012</b>			
<b>Equality Delivery System (NHSP and PSHFT)</b>	<p>The Commission recommended that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. The rating templates of the NHSP and PSHFT were approved and;</li> <li>II. That further detailed information is brought back to the Commission in September to evidence what action was being taken to improve the indicators that were rated as RED.</li> </ol>	<p>Joan Tiplady, Senior Manager, PSHFT Geeta Pankhania, Public Health Specialist, NHSP</p>	<p>A further report was provided to the Commission on 20 September 2012 in response to the recommendations.</p>
<b>Redesign of Mental Health Services – Action to Monitor Implementation of the Proposals</b>	<p>The Commission recommends that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A joint working group consisting of members of the Cambridgeshire County Council Adults Wellbeing and Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the Scrutiny Commission for Health Issues be set up to monitor the implementation of the redesign of mental health services and follow up issues of common concern. The working group to report back to the respective Committees as appropriate.</li> </ul>	<p>Jane Belman, Governance Officer at Cambs County Council</p>	<p>The working group continues to monitor the implementation of the redesign of mental health services and follow up issues of common concern.</p>

ITEM	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Commission agree to the nomination of up to 5 members to the working group and that the nominations will come from the original membership of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Peterborough's Joint OSC members were:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Councillors B Rush; Lamb, Stokes, Harrington, Shabbir</li> <li>○ Substitute members were: Councillors Todd, Sharp</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<b>17 July 2012</b>			
<b>Older Peoples Accommodation Strategy</b>	<p>The Commission recommend that the Director of Adult Social Care address all the comments made by the Commission and members of the public and pay particular attention to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Further consideration to be given to the alternative option of demolishing the existing homes and rebuilding a new one to replace them.</li> <li>2. That the Strategy be remodelled to take into account the recently published 2011 Census figures. Particular reference</li> </ol>	Director of Adult Social Care / Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care	These matters were considered and addressed in a further report to the Commission in November 2012.

ITEM	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
	<p>should be made to statistics for the number of people with dementia and how much this had increased in the last 15 to 18 months.</p> <p>3. Further data to be expanded on within the strategy to show the benefits of a 'block move' of residents if this was to be the way forward.</p> <p>4. Consideration to be given to the importance of keeping the current staff on to help with the transition of residents to new homes to ease their transition and</p> <p>4.1. The model to show how long the current staff would be retained to provide care and support for the residents when they move.</p> <p>4.2. Provide a profile of how many staff would be required if one or both homes were closed and how long the staff would be retained through the move and after the move.</p> <p>5. To ensure that the expertise of the Dementia Champions within the two care homes is used regardless of the option chosen.</p>		

ITEM	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
	<p>6. The strategy to take into consideration the possibility of an increase in death rate through moving the residents and show how this could be dealt with to reduce the risk.</p> <p>7. Officers to work with staff at both homes as a group to look at the proposed strategy positively and to look at a way forward to get the best possible solution.</p> <p>8. To provide costs for the option of refurbishing both of the homes.</p> <p>9. To look at using the planning department consultation portal to help with this consultation.</p> <p>The Director of Adult Social Care to bring a report back to the Commission with outcomes of the consultation and all responses before going to Cabinet.</p>		
<b>1 November 2012</b>			
Older Peoples Accommodation Strategy	<p>The Commission recommends the following to Cabinet:</p> <p>1. That Cabinet approves the closure of Greenwood House and Welland House care homes and that all current permanent</p>	Cabinet	<p>At its meeting on 5 November 2012 Cabinet considered the Older People's Accommodation Strategy - 2012: Consultation Report On The Proposal To Close Greenwood House And Welland House and recommendations from the Scrutiny Commission for Health Issues and RESOLVED to:</p>

ITEM	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
	<p>residents are provided with suitable and appropriate offers of alternative accommodation that meets their assessed needs and choice at no additional cost to the resident;</p> <p>2. That Cabinet affirms that there should be no loss of access to day care, respite or interim care for current service users as a result of these closures providing the Commissioning of respite care and day care is in place before the closure of the homes.</p> <p>3. That Cabinet endorses the commissioning plans to secure: a) alternative interim care beds in the independent sector; b) replacement respite care facilities; and c) interim and long term day facilities including a dementia resource centre. The respite care and day care should not be on an interim basis and permanent contracts should be in place before the closure of the homes to avoid moving the clients more than once.</p> <p>4. That the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care reports back on: progress with a) progress with closure; and b) progress with commissioning plans for replacement</p>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approve the closure of Greenwood House and Welland House care homes and that all current permanent residents are provided with suitable and appropriate offers of alternative accommodation that meets their assessed needs and choice at no additional cost to the resident;</li> <li>2. Affirm that there should be no loss of access to day care, respite or interim care for current service users as a result of these closures;</li> <li>3. Endorse the commissioning plans to secure:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) alternative interim care beds in the independent sector;</li> <li>b) replacement respite care facilities; and</li> <li>c) interim and long term day facilities including a dementia resource centre.</li> </ol> <p>The respite care and day care should not be on an interim basis and permanent contracts should, wherever possible, be in place before the closure of the homes to avoid moving the clients more than once;</p> </li> <li>4. Receive a report from the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care on: a) progress with closure; and b) progress with commissioning plans for replacement services in March 2013;</li> <li>5. Agree that where permanent residents are</li> </ol>

ITEM	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
	<p>services in March 2013.</p> <p>The Commission further recommend the following:</p> <p>5. That if the decision is taken to close Greenwood House and Welland House and permanent residents are moved to alternative accommodation in the private sector that an audit of the new accommodation takes place on a quarterly basis during the first year and then on an annual basis. The audit reports to be presented to the Scrutiny Commission for Health Issues with the quarterly Adult Social Care performance report.</p> <p>6. That if the decision is taken to close Greenwood House and Welland House that key staff are retained for a suitable period of time after the closure to ensure the safe resettlement of residents into their new homes.</p> <p>7. Given the lessons learnt and the assessments that have now taken place it is recommended that the good practice established during this consultation be continued going forward as established practice. That a review is undertaken of all</p>		<p>moved to alternative accommodation in the private sector an audit of the new accommodation takes place on a quarterly basis during the first year and then on an annual basis. The audit reports to be presented to the Scrutiny Commission for Health Issues with the quarterly Adult Social Care performance report;</p> <p>6. Retain key staff for a suitable period of time after the closure to ensure the safe resettlement of residents into their new homes; and</p> <p>7. Continued as established practice the good practice undertaken during this consultation and agreed that a review was undertaken of all older people who are currently in receipt of respite care and day care under Adult Social Care.</p>

ITEM	RECOMMENDATION	REFERRED TO	RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS
	older people who are currently in receipt of respite care and day care under Adult Social Care.		
<b>23 January 2013</b>			
<b>Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults board Annual Report 2011/2012</b>	<p>The Commission noted the report and recommended that Adult Safeguarding Training should be provided for all Members of the Scrutiny Commission for Health Issues. The Strategic Safeguarding Adults Manager to ensure that this is delivered before the start of the next round of meetings in June 2013.</p> <p>The Commission also recommended that all Members of the council receive Adult Safeguarding Training. The Strategic Safeguarding Adults Manager to arrange training for all Members of the council.</p>	Strategic Safeguarding Adults Manager	Adult Safeguarding Training took place on 28 May 2013 for Members of the Scrutiny Commission for Health Issues. Training for all other Councillors is being arranged and will be available between June and September 2013.

This page is intentionally left blank